



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/766,319 | 01/26/2004 | Vikram Madan | 5486-0174PUS1 | 1220 |
| 67321 7590 03/27/2008 BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP 8110 GATEHOUSE ROAD SUITE 100 EAST FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| LEWIS, ALICIA M | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2164 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 03/27/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/766,319

Applicant(s)

MADAN ET AL.

Examiner

Alicia M. Lewis

Art Unit

2164

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia M. Lewis.(3) Jason Rhodes.(2) Charles Rones.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 18 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 10.

Identification of prior art discussed: Harui (US 6,690,394 B1) and Browne et al. (US 2004/0135815 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed upon by all participants that the current amendment (filed February 11, 2008) appears to overcome the rejection of claim 10 by Harui in view of Browne et al.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles Rones/ SPE 2164

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required